

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,592	•	10/10/2003	Roger Proksch	14083-002002	1027
20985	7590	03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON, PC	PATIDAR, JAY M		
12390 EL C SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEG	J, CA 9	2130-2081		2862	
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ #
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/683,592	PROKSCH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jay M. Patidar	2862	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 l	February 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-60 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-49 and 51-60 is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-13,19-22 and 50 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-18 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	are withdrawn from consider	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be added to the Examination is objected to the Examination	e: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ obj e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/10/03</u>. 	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/683,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

1. This communication is in response to applicants' response filed on February 10, 2005.

- 2. Claims 23-49,51-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 10, 2005.
- 3. Figure 18 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore,

- coil form made from non-ferromagnetic adhesive;
- the shell as set forth in claim 10;
- the shell that produces a magnetic field (cl. 11);
- atomic force microscope connected to a position sensor (cl. 22);

must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. Claims 6,11,19 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 6, it is unclear as to how a coil form is made from adhesive; In claim 11, it is unclear as to how a shell produces a magnetic field; In claim 19, it is not clear as to what is meant by object of interest.
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-13,19-22,50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neff (2,452,862) in view of Ellis (3,891,918) & common knowledge in the art.

As to claims 4-6,19,50, Neff discloses a position apparatus including a first and second non-ferromagnetic coil forms (6,2) with a common axis, each wound

Art Unit: 2862

with at least one winding or coil elements (3,4,5); a moving coil part 5; a stationary coil part 3 or 4; the winding on the movable form magnetically coupled to the winding on the stationary form and a circuit generating a signal responsive to relative displacement between the coil forms. Neff further discloses a moving coil part 5 constrained to move in a linear direction (fig. 2); the second coil element having two electrical connections, which produce an output signal indicative of a moving relationship between said moving coil part and said stationary coil part (fig. 2, voltmeter); the stationary coil part is sufficiently closed to the moving coil part such that magnetic flux from the moving coil part is induced into the stationary coil part. Neff does not explicitly disclose that the forms are solid non-ferromagnetic. It is common in the magnetic field art as disclosed by Ellis to have a coil form made from solid or plastic/resin nonferromagnetic material. Ellis discloses that a coil form is made from nonferromagnetic material like ceramic. Other materials e.g. plastic, resin, papers, glass etc. are also known in the art. One ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use solid non-ferromagnetic coil forms since they are very well known in the art for their use.

As to claim 7, coil 5, which is a driving coil, is connected to the excitation electronics (fig. 2).

As to claims 8-11, Voltmeter is connected to the stationary coil part to receive voltages from the stationary coil induced by movement of the moving coil part. The use of amplifier and shell is known for amplifying output signals and as a housing respectively.

As to claim 12, the waveform in Neff is sine wave (fig. 2).

As to claim 13, Neff discloses a sinusoidal wave generator (oscillator) instead of a square wave generator. It would be obvious to use a square wave generator since it is known in the art for its use as well defined amplitude and frequency.

As to claims 20-22, the provision of connecting the moving coil part in Neff involves only routine skill in the art (note page 15 of the specification).

- 7. Claims 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to show details of the processing circuitry as claimed in claims 14 and 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,592

Art Unit: 2862

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is

703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-

308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703-305-0956.

Jav^vM. Patidar

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Tech Center 2862

March 23, 2005